



# Evaluation Report Green-4-Future 1<sup>st</sup> Partner Meeting Online via ZOOM 1<sup>st</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup> of December 2020

**Project Title:** Greening the EntreComp Framework to Reconcile Economic

**Development and Environmental Security** 

**Acronym:** Green-4-Future

**Reference number:** 2020-1-DE01-KA203-005682

**Project partners:** P1 University Paderborn (UPB), DE

P2 Centre for Advancement of Research and Development in

Educational Technology Ltd (CARDET), Cy

P3 Callidus ustanova za obrazovanje odraslih (CALLIDUS), HR

P4 Berufsförderungsinstitut Burgenland (BFWB), AU

P5 Spectrum Research Centre CLG (SPECTRUM), IE

P6 Universitatea din Pitesti (UPIT), RO

P7 University of Peloponnese, (UoP), GR

P8 Burgaski Svoboden Universitet - Burgas Free University (BFU), BG

P9 Instituto De Soldadura E Qualidade (ISQ), PT



## Agenda

1. Setting of the testing and target group	3
2. Quantitative data	
3. Qualitative data	
4. Summary	c





#### 1. Setting of the testing and target group

This report presents the results of the 1<sup>st</sup> Green-4-Future Online Partner Meeting (Greening the EntreComp Framework to Reconcile Economic Development and Environmental Security, 2020-1-DE01-KA203-005682).

The Green-4-Future Online Partner Meeting took place between the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> of December 2020 in the premises of University of Paderborn (UPB) via Zoom, instead of in Ballyjamesduff, Ireland because of the Corona Pandemic. Moreover, 12 persons evaluated this meeting.

After the 1<sup>st</sup> Green-4-Future Partner Meeting, the evaluation questionnaires were shared online via SurveyMonkey, in order to evaluate and improve the quality of the program. It reflects the personal impressions of the participants about the project.

The results of this questionnaire are the following ones:

#### 2. Quantitative data

In table 1, we can observe that most of the participants were satisfied in general with the whole  $1^{st}$  partner meeting, since we cannot find any negative answer regarding this meeting. This is also shown by the results that most participants (83.33 %) totally agree that the meeting lived up to their expectations.

Furthermore, over 83.33% % totally agree that the meeting objectives were clear.

Even so, the item "The difficulty level of this meeting was appropriate" was evaluated positive by all participants (75.0 % totally agree). Nevertheless, most participants (over 70.0 %) think that the meeting contents were presented in an engaging way (see table 1).

Furthermore, the majority of the participants found the meeting interesting (75.0% totally agree). The coordination was well prepared (83.33% totally agree) and very helpful (83.33% totally agree) for the participants.

Overall, all participants were happy with the meeting results (83.33% totally agree). Therefore, we can conclude that most of the participants have a clear picture now of the projects' next steps (75.0% totally agree) and know what their tasks for the upcoming period are (75.0% totally agree).



#### The whole meeting:

Evaluated aspect	1 Totally disagree	2 Partly disagree	3 Partly Agree	4 Agree	5 Totally agree	6 I don't know	Σ
The partner meeting lived up to my expectations.	0	0	0	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	0	12
The meeting objectives were clear to me.	0	0	0	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	0	12
The difficulty level of this meeting was appropriate.	0	0	0	3 (25.0%)	9 (75.0%)	0	12
The meeting contents were presented in an engaging way.	0	0	0	3 (25.0%)	9 (75.0%)	0	12
The meeting was interesting.	0	0	0	3 (25.0%)	9 (75.0%)	0	12
The coordination was well prepared.	0	0	0	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	0	12
The coordination was helpful.	0	0	0	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	0	12
Overall, I am happy with the meeting results.	0	0	0	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	0	12
I have a clear picture now of the projects' next steps.	0	0	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	9 (75.0%)	0	12
I know what my tasks for the upcoming period are.	0	0	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	9 (75.0%)	0	12

Table 1: Evaluation whole meeting





Furthermore, 100.0% of the participants have no concerns regarding to the development process in the Green-4-Future project so far (see figure 1).

Do you have any concerns regarding the development process in Green-4-Future so far?

Answered: 12 Skipped: 0




Figure 1: Concerns regarding the development process

Even so, no partners (100.0%) have any concerns in relation to the quality of the management or collaboration of the project so far (see figure 2).

Do you have any concerns with the quality of the management or collaboration of the project so far?

Answered: 12 Skipped: 0




Figure 2: Concerns regarding the quality of the management





#### 3. Qualitative data

Furthermore, the participants have the opportunity to express their opinions on the basis of open questions. The results of the qualitative data can be seen in the following:

Table 2 shows the answers regarding the suggestion for the future cooperation/ communication in the Green-4-Future project. Here, only 10 participants give an answer. Moreover, they indicate that there is a good and clear communication between all partners and that it should continue in this way. Nevertheless, three participant concerns that a project email address is needed (see table 2). Even so some partners wish to use one collaborative working space.

## What would you like to suggest for the future cooperation/ communication in the Green-4-Future project? (10 answers)

- Go on with the good communication
- To have emphasis on the applied side of the project
- Keep up the good communication established during KoM
- Despite the cloud for a repository, all partners should keep the documents exchange on email too, for traceability. When uploading a file they also should send it by email, or at least, informing the uploading action.
- Keep up the involved good work
- An email address for the project
- Overall I think the communication was positive today and a very good start. I think
  we just need to maintain regular contact with all partners and especially when
  working in the small working teams.
- As I emphasized/suggested during the kick-off meeting, I think we need to create a mailing list for the project (for example partners@green-4-future.eu) which to include all members of the national teams...
- Close cooperation of the subgroups
- Mailing list and collaborative working space

Table 2: Results of qualitative data – Open question 4

The next aspect relates to Dissemination and Exploitation activities or measures the participants undertook so far to the Green-4-Future project. Here you will find a lot of activities. The most mentioned are listed below: organisation Website, project website(s), poster and a presentation of the G4F project during an annual scientific session (see table 3).

## Please describe any Dissemination & Exploitation activities or measures you undertook so far to the Green-4-Future project. (11 answers)

- Not the case
- Presentation of the project to UOP's graduate students





- Not applicable
- Callidus has written a brief summary of the project with the stated project objectives on its website: https://www.ustanovacallidus.hr/en/projects/erasmusplus-projects/
- Internal meetings between ISQ Academy and R+Di Unit Training&Qualifications, presenting this new project, aims and objectives.
- N/A
- No activities so far
- We have prepared the project website and annouced the start of the project across our social media channels.
- We implemented just few dissemination activities so far, as the project is at the start: we announced the Management Board that the project is approved and we displayed on our institutional website a standardized description of the project (presenting the summary, objectives, main outcomes and partnership)
- Poster, Website
- The Green-4-Future project was presented to the representatives of the academic community, as well as to the wider audience during the annual scientific session for presentation of the newly won and current research projects of BFU at local, national and international level. The online event took place on November 24, 2020, and was featured on the university's website as well as on social media.

Table 3: Results of qualitative data – Open question 5

The last question asks for additional issues for discussion. This question was answered by only 10 persons. As can be seen in table 4, the majority of the project partners have no issues to discuss.

# What else would you like to pass on? Are there any other issues for discussion? (10 answers)

- No
- Not anything in particular for the time being
- Not the case
- No. Thank you! Really good and intense meeting. Well organised.
- No
- Great team!
- Nothing at the moment, thanks
- No.
- Thanks to all for the nice meeting
- A very interesting and ambitious project.

Table 4: Results of qualitative data - Open question 6





### 4. Summary

The evaluation shows that majority of the participants were satisfied with the whole meeting. However, some participants made suggestions for improvements which should be taken into account.

The first Online Project Meeting of Green-4-Future was well-prepared and structured. Therefore, the project reached many aims so far and works in a stable path into the future.